For the Northern District of California

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALI	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	7		
8	8 IN RE WELLS FARGO HOME No. C-06-1 MORTGAGE OVERTIME PAY	770 EMC	
9			
10	THIS CASE RELATES TO ALL CASES		
11	11		
12	JUSTINE BODMER, No. C-08-2	351 EMC	
13		O SHOW CAUSE	
14		O SHOW CAUSE	
15	WELLS FARGO BANK,		
16	Defendant.		
17	7		

Previously, a clerk's notice was issued in this case requiring the filing of a case management conference statement. See Docket No. 10 (notice). No statement was filed by the due date. Subsequently, upon inquiry by the Court, counsel for Plaintiff represented that there is nothing further to pursue in this case such that it may be closed. To ensure that the record is clear, however, the Court issues this order to show cause.

More specifically, the Court orders the parties in the case to show cause why the case should not be dismissed with prejudice and terminated. A response to this order is necessary only if a party believes that the action should not be dismissed with prejudice and terminated. If counsel believes there is nothing to pursue, then it need not respond, and the lack of a response shall be deemed an admission that the case should in fact be dismissed with prejudice and closed.

Any response to the order to show cause must be filed by November 23, 2011.

If the Court receives no response to this order to show cause, then the case shall automatically be dismissed with prejudice and terminated, and the Clerk of the Court shall close the file in this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 7, 2011

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge